



Northern Area Planning Committee

Date: Tuesday, 12 July 2022
Time: 2.00 pm
Venue: The Bow Room - The Exchange, Old Market Hill, Sturminster Newton, DT10 1FH

Members (Quorum: 6)

Sherry Jespersen (Chairman), Mary Penfold (Vice-Chairman), Jon Andrews, Tim Cook, Les Fry, Matthew Hall, Brian Heatley, Carole Jones, Stella Jones, Emma Parker, Val Potheary and Belinda Ridout

Chief Executive: Matt Prosser, County Hall, Dorchester, Dorset DT1 1XJ

For more information about this agenda please contact Democratic Services
Meeting Contact 01305 224878 - denise.hunt@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting, apart from any items listed in the exempt part of this agenda.

For easy access to all the council's committee agendas and minutes download the free public app called Modern.Gov for use on any iPad, Android, and Windows tablet. Once downloaded select Dorset Council.

Agenda

Item	Pages
1. APOLOGIES	
To receive any apologies for absence.	
2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
To disclose any pecuniary, other registerable or non-registerable interests as set out in the adopted Code of Conduct. In making their disclosure councillors are asked to state the agenda item, the nature of the interest and any action they propose to take as part of their declaration.	
If required, further advice should be sought from the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.	

3. MINUTES

5 - 16

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on Tuesday 14th June 2022.

4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Members of the public wishing to speak to the Committee on a planning application should notify the Democratic Services Officer listed on the front of this agenda. This must be done no later than two clear working days before the meeting.

The deadline for notifying a request to speak is 8.30am on Friday 8th July.

Please refer to the [Guidance for speaking at the Area Planning Committee](#) for further information.

5. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

To consider the applications listed below for planning permission.

6. P/FUL/2022/01062- BARNACK CHAMBERS 9-9A WEST STREET BLANDFORD FORUM DT11 7AW

17 - 26

Change of use of the first and second floors from offices (use class E(g)) to a house in multiple occupation.

7. P/RES/2022/00263 - LAND TO THE SOUTH EAST OF LODDEN LAKES NEW ROAD GILLINGHAM DORSET

27 - 48

Develop land by the erection of up to 115 no. dwellings, form vehicular access from New Road and Lodden Lakes Phase 1, form public open space. (Outline application to determine access) (reserved matters application to determine appearance, landscaping, layout & scale following the grant of outline planning permission P/OUT/2020/00495)

8. URGENT ITEMS

To consider any items of business which the Chairman has had prior notification and considers to be urgent pursuant to section 100B (4) b) of the Local Government Act 1972.

The reason for the urgency shall be recorded in the minutes.

9. EXEMPT BUSINESS

To move the exclusion of the press and the public for the following item in view of the likely disclosure of exempt information within the meaning of paragraph 3 of schedule 12 A to the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended).

The public and the press will be asked to leave the meeting whilst the item of business is considered.

This page is intentionally left blank



NORTHERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY 14 JUNE 2022

Present: Cllrs Sherry Jespersen (Chairman), Mary Penfold (Vice-Chairman), Jon Andrews, Tim Cook, Les Fry, Brian Heatley, Stella Jones and Val Potheary

Apologies: Cllrs Matthew Hall, Carole Jones, Emma Parker and Belinda Ridout

Also present:

Officers present (for all or part of the meeting):

Hannah Smith (Planning Area Manager), James Lytton-Trevers (Senior Planning Officer), Steve Savage (Transport Development Manager), Megan Rochester (Democratic Services Officer), Hannah Massey (Lawyer - Regulatory), Huw Williams (Lead Project Officer - Corporate Projects) and Cass Worman (Planning Officer)

95. Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Emma Parker, Matt Hall, Belinda Ridout, Carole Jones, and Molly Rennie

96. Declarations of Interest

Cllr May Penfold declared her interest to Parkway Farm Business Park. It was agreed that she would not take part in the debate or decision of the planning application.

97. Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on Tuesday 10th May 2022 were confirmed and signed.

98. Public Participation

Representations by the public to the committee on individual planning applications are detailed below. There were no questions, petitions or deputations received on other items on this occasion.

99. Planning Applications

Members considered written reports submitted on planning applications as set out below.

100. P/HOU/2021/04085- 25 Herringston Road Dorchester DT1 2BS

The Case Officer presented to members the outline application to erect two storey extensions, extend single storey side extension. Members were shown the location of the site as well as aerial photos. The proposed elevation of the extension was also presented. Members were also provided with details of a floor plan as well as views from neighbouring properties. Recommendation was to approve.

Public participation

Mr Tim Armstrong spoke in favour of the application. He informed members that the current layout of the property did not suit a growing family. Mr Armstrong spoke of new plans which were better suited for neighbours and discussed ways of creating privacy. He hoped the committee would approve this application.

In her absence Cllr Molly Rennie's comments were read out by Senior Democratic Services Officer, George Dare. She wrote in objection to the planning application. Cllr Rennie discussed the lack of impact on the street scene or neighbouring area and had no concerns regarding the extension design. However, she discussed her concerns regarding the overlooking of the neighbouring property. Her comments discussed the proximity of the buildings as well as the purpose of the room will cause more overlooking. Cllr Molly Rennie hoped the committee would refuse this application.

Mr Colin Turner spoke in objection to the planning application. He informed members that the overlooking of the property was a cause for concern as it would reduce privacy and created a loss of light. Mr Turner informed the members that the neighbours were not against the development, however, would have liked to reconsider the design. Mr Turner hoped the application would be refused.

Members Questions and Comments

- Mitigate the risk of overlooking by changing window size and design.
- Overlooking of the neighbouring property.
- Members praised the detailed report and presentation

Having had the opportunity to discuss the merits of the application and an understanding of all this entailed; having considered the officer's report and presentation; the written representatives; and what they had heard at the meeting, in being proposed by **Cllr Val Pothecriy and seconded by Cllr Jon Andrews**

Decision: To grant planning permission.

101. P/VOC/2022/01520- Parkway Farm Business Park, Poundbury, Dorchester

Due to Cllr Penfold's declaration of interest. Cllr Val Pothecriy acted as Vice Chair for the planning application. Being proposed by Cllr Sherry Jespersen and Cllr Les Fry seconded.

The Case Officer presented to members the erection of 21no.commercial units, allowing for the use of Units 17 and 18 to include fitness. Members were provided with details of the proposed site location as well as aerial photographs of the site. The Case Officer informed members of the planning issues based on employability. He discussed that some employment would be available but only temporary. Members were informed of the lack of evidence of an economic enhancement to the area. The recommendation was to refuse the application.

Public participation

Mr Mark Sergison spoke in favour of the application. He discussed the physical and mental health benefits of the application and what the facilities would have been used for. Members were informed of the inclusion of a Youth training scheme to support the local area. Mr Sergison discussed letters that he had received from local schools in support of this application and the ongoing benefits that it would provide. He hoped members would approve the application.

Mr Ben Boughton-White spoke in favour of the application. Members were informed of his intentions to grow the business to create a better environment. Mr Boughton-White also discussed the economic benefits of the business as well as mental and physical health benefits to both adults and children. He hoped members would approve the application.

Members Questions and Comments

- Industrial usage in future
- Provision for gym
- Good service and asset to the community.
- Economic and social benefit to the area

It was proposed by Cllr Les Fry and Seconded by Cllr Stella Jones that the application be approved.

The committee adjourned in order for the Officers to put together some suitable wording for approval of the application. On returning the suggested reasons for approval were read to the committee members.

The suggested reasons for approval were: "The committee notes conflicts with identified development plan policies, however, consider that in this instance these are material planning considerations that would outweigh the policy conflicts. These material considerations are the limited economic benefit through job creation and health benefits and well-being benefits through the provision of the gym".

Having had the opportunity to discuss the merits of the application and an understanding of all this entailed; having considered the officer's report and presentation; the written representatives; and what they had heard at the meeting, in being proposed by **Cllr Les Fry and seconded by Cllr Stella Jones.**

Decision: To approve planning application subject to conditions:

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

5105.01T
5105.07H,
5105.08B,
5105.14A,
5105.15,
5105.16,
5105.17B,
20550-GAP-XX-ZZ-DR-C-0900 P04,
20550 SW Model Units 14 - 24 and swale Rev A,
20550-GAP-XX-ZZ-DR-C-9300 Swale

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

2. The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with 17 February 2022.

Reason: This condition is required to be imposed by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

3. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town & Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 and the Town & Country Planning Use Classes Order 1987, as amended (or any order revoking and re-enacting those Orders with or without modification) the premises the subject of this permission shall not be used other than for purposes falling within Classes:

E(c) Provision of:

E(c)(i) Financial services,

E(c)(ii) Professional services (other than health or medical services), or

E(c)(iii) Other appropriate services in a commercial, business or service locality

E(g) Uses which can be carried out in a residential area without detriment to its amenity:

E(g)(i) Offices to carry out any operational or administrative functions,

E(g)(ii) Research and development of products or processes

E(g)(iii) Industrial processes

B2

B8;

E(d) Indoor sport, recreation or fitness (not involving motorised vehicles or firearms) Units 17 & 18 only.

of the Use Classes Order without the prior grant of planning permission from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that the use remains compatible with surrounding land uses in the area and in accordance with the allocation in the Local Plan and Poundbury Development Brief.

4. The premises shall not be used for the purposes hereby permitted outside the hours of 07.00 – 21.00hrs Monday – Saturday, and 08.00 - 18.00 on Sundays and Bank holidays and Deliveries to be restricted to 08:00 – 18.00hs Monday to Saturday.

Reason: To safeguard the living conditions of any surrounding residential properties.

5. Prior to the installation of any extraction and ventilation equipment, details of suitable extraction and ventilation equipment to be installed shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Details of such equipment shall include the location and methods of enclosure, sound insulation and mountings so as to minimise air and structure borne sound, evidenced as appropriate with a noise survey. The extraction and ventilation equipment shall thereafter be operated and maintained in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To protect the amenity of occupiers of nearby residential property.

6. No development above Damp Proof Course level shall be commenced until details of all external facing materials for the wall(s) and roof(s) shall have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority the development shall proceed in strict accordance with such materials as have been agreed.

Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual appearance of the development.

7. Before the development hereby approved is first occupied the first 10m of the vehicular access, measured from the nearside edge of the carriageway, including the visibility splays, shall have been laid out, constructed, and surfaced, to a specification which shall have been submitted to, and agreed in writing by, the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interest of highway safety.

8. Prior to first occupation of the development hereby permitted the access, geometric highway layout, turning and parking areas shown on the

submitted plans shall be constructed; thereafter these areas shall be maintained, kept free from obstruction and available for the purposes specified.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

9. The development hereby permitted must not be occupied or utilised until a scheme showing precise details of the proposed cycle parking facilities is submitted to the Planning Authority. Any such scheme requires approval to be obtained in writing from the Planning Authority. The approved scheme must be constructed before the development is occupied and, thereafter, must be maintained, kept free from obstruction and available for the purpose specified.

Reason: To ensure the proper construction of the parking facilities and to encourage the use of sustainable transport modes.

10. No development shall be occupied until a hard and soft landscape scheme has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority showing details of all trees and other planting to be retained; a planting specification to include numbers, size, species and positions of all new trees and shrubs, details of existing and proposed levels, walls, fences and other boundary treatment and surface treatment of the open parts of the site together with any lighting and street furniture and a programme of implementation. All hard and soft landscape works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. The works shall be carried out prior to the use of the site or in accordance with a programme agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that adequate mitigation for the landscape and visual impact of the proposals, the provision of an appropriate hard and soft landscape scheme, and the coordination of that scheme with lighting and services provision has been agreed prior to the occupation of the development.

11. Any trees or other plants indicated in the approved scheme which, within a period of five years from the date of the development being completed, die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced during the next planting season with other trees or plants of a species and size to be first approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Hard landscape features will be maintained in perpetuity.

Reason: To ensure that the agreed hard and soft landscaping scheme is established and maintained.

12. The Biodiversity Mitigation Plan (BMP) approved 21 May 2021 shall be implemented in full in accordance with the specified timetable(s) in the BMP.

Reason: To minimise impacts on biodiversity.

13. No development shall take place until a detailed surface water management scheme for the development site, based upon the hydrological and hydrogeological context of the development, and including clarification of how surface water is to be managed during construction, has been submitted to, and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The surface water scheme shall be fully implemented in accordance with the submitted details before the development is completed.

Reason: To prevent the increased risk of flooding, to improve and protect water quality, and to improve habitat and amenity.

14. No development shall take place until details of maintenance & management of both the surface water sustainable drainage scheme and any receiving system have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall be implemented and thereafter managed and maintained in accordance with the approved details. These should include a plan for the lifetime of the development, the arrangements for adoption by any public body or statutory undertaker, or any other arrangements to secure the operation of the surface water drainage scheme throughout its lifetime.

Reason: To ensure future maintenance of the surface water drainage system, and to prevent the increased risk of flooding.

15. Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted, a detailed surface water drainage design and maintenance strategy for the run off from the site shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with National Highways). The design shall be in accordance with the preliminary Drainage Layout 20550-GAP-XX-ZZ-DR-C-0900 Rev P04 and shall include arrangements for the management of surface water during the construction phase. Surface water drainage shall be implemented in accordance with the agreed detailed design and maintained as such thereafter.

Reason: In the interest of the safe and efficient operation of the A35 trunk road and to protect the integrity of the trunk road drainage assets.

Informatives:

1. Informative: The applicant is advised that the granting of planning permission does not override the need for existing rights of way affected by the development to be kept open and unobstructed until the statutory procedures authorising closure or diversion have been completed. Developments, in so far as it affects a right of way should not be started until the necessary order for the diversion has come into effect.
2. Informative: The vehicle crossing serving this proposal (that is, the area of highway land between the nearside carriageway edge and the site's

road boundary) must be constructed to the specification of the Highway Authority in order to comply with Section 184 of the Highways Act 1980. The applicant should contact Dorset Highways by telephone at 01305 221020, by email at dorsethighways@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk, or in writing at Dorset Highways, Dorset Council, County Hall, Dorchester, DT1 1XJ, before the commencement of any works on or adjacent to the public highway.

3. Informative: As the new road layout does not meet with the Highway Authority's road adoption standards or is not offered for public adoption under Section 38 of the Highways Act 1980, it will remain private and its maintenance will remain the responsibility of the developer, residents or housing company.
4. Informative: The applicant should be advised that the Advance Payments Code under Sections 219-225 of the Highways Act 1980 may apply in this instance. The Code secures payment towards the future making-up of a private street prior to the commencement of any building works associated with residential, commercial and industrial development. The intention of the Code is to reduce the liability of potential road charges on any future purchasers which may arise if the private street is not made-up to a suitable standard and adopted as publicly maintained highway. Further information is available from Dorset Council's Development team. They can be reached by telephone at 01305 225401, by email at dli@dorsetcc.gov.uk, or in writing at Development team, Infrastructure Service, Dorset Council, County Hall, Dorchester, DT1 1XJ.
5. Informative: The development would need to be designed and built to meet current Building Regulations requirements related to the following areas:
 - Recommendations identified under B5 of Approved Document B relating to The Building Regulations 2010
 - Recommendations to improve safety and reduce property loss in the event of fire
6. Informative: Boundary treatment
Should any boundary treatment subsequently be considered, for reasons of safety, liability and maintenance it must be erected wholly within the development site, and sufficiently within it to ensure it can be erected and maintained from within the development site without encroachment into the highway boundary.
Annex A, paragraph A1, of DfT Circular 02/2013 refers. Likewise for any planting that may subsequently be considered, and we would additionally advise that the following species must not be planted within 10m of the strategic highways estate:
 - Blackthorn (*Prunus spinosa*)
 - Goat willow (*Salix caprea*)
 - Crack willow (*Salix fragilis*)
 - Dogwood (*Cornus sanguinea*)

- Italian alder (*Alnus cordata*)
- Bird cherry (*Prunus avium*)
- Quaking Aspen (*Populus tremulans*)
- Wild Privet (*Ligustrum vulgare*)

and in addition, the following trees must not be planted in a position where at maturity they would be within falling distance of the trunk road carriageway or any significant National Highways asset:

- Silver Birch (*Betula pendula*)
- Austrian Pine (*Pinus nigra*)
- Poplar (*Populus alba*, *Populus hybrid*, *Populus lombardii*)
- English Oak (*Quercus robur*).

7. Informative: National Planning Policy Framework Statement

In accordance with paragraph 38 of the NPPF the council, as local planning authority, takes a positive approach to development proposals and is focused on providing sustainable development.

The council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:

- offering a pre-application advice service, and
- as appropriate updating applications/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application and where possible suggesting solutions.

In this case:

- The applicant/agent was updated of any issues and provided with the opportunity to address issues identified by the case officer.

102. **P/FUL/2020/00477 - Land South East of A354 Salisbury Road, Tarrant Hinton, Dorset HW**

The Case Officer presented to members the outline application of permanent temporary gypsy, traveller travelling site. Members were shown aerial views of the site as well as the site location and site access. The Case Officer also discussed the need for the transit site as well as the distance to the Great Dorset Steam Fair site. Members were informed of the short-term temporary amenities. The recommendation was to grant the planning application subject to conditions set out in the report.

Public participation

Mr Michael Nathan spoke in objection of the application. He discussed his concerns regarding the dates of site access as well as the potential for the site to move with the steam fair if it was to change location. Mr Nathan hoped members would refuse the application.

Members Questions and Comments

- Ensure the dates correlate with Great Dorset Steam Fair
- No overlap of people arriving and leaving.

- Responsibility of site upkeep
- Consultation with Police
- Out of hours gypsy and traveller help available
- Distance of site to the Great Dorset Steam Fair

Having had the opportunity to discuss the merits of the application and an understanding of all this entailed; having considered the officer's report and presentation; the written representatives; and what they had heard at the meeting, in being proposed by **Cllr Jon Andrews and seconded by Cllr Les Fry**.

Decision: To grant the application.

103. **FUL/2022/0124- Hammond's Yard, Sturminster Newton**

The Case Officer presented to members the outline application of up to 6 dwellings. Members were shown the location of the site as well as aerial photos. They were presented with the proposed site access and proposed street elevations. Members were informed that the site was within the Conservation Area. Details regarding sustainability of location was also discussed. The Case Officer informed members that the site was within an acceptable walking distance of neighbouring amenities and facilities. The recommendation was to grant planning application.

Public participation

Mrs Sarah Sexton spoke in objection of the planning application. Mrs Sexton raised her concerns regarding the application not representing the neighbourhood plan. In addition to this, she was also concerned about the lack of housing supporting an aging population needs within Sturminster Newton. Mrs Sexton also expressed her concerns regarding loss of privacy for neighbouring properties.

Mrs Frances Pearce spoke in objection of the planning application. She believed it was an overdevelopment within the conservation area. Mrs Pearce believed that a tree protection plan needed to be put into place and that there was a lack of landscaping. She also raised her concerns regarding residential immunity being minimised.

Mr Simon Rutter spoke in support of this application. He informed members that the applicant was an established, well known building developer within the area. Mr Rutter also informed members that the site would provide improvements to the conservation area with the inclusion of affordable housing and solar panels.

Members Questions and Comments

- Ownership of the land and existing trees
- Reduction in the number of dwellings to improve privacy
- Objections from highways officer
- Clarification on dwelling height and overlooking of neighbouring properties
- Building materials

- External lighting
- Street parking
- Praised the use of solar panels on site plan
- New location of the business

Having had the opportunity to discuss the merits of the application and an understanding of all this entailed; having considered the officer's report and presentation; the written representatives; and what they had heard at the meeting, in being proposed by **Cllr Jon Andrews and seconded by Cllr Tim Cook.**

Decision: To grant planning permission subject to conditions as per the officer's report.

104. **Urgent items**

There were no urgent items

105. **Exempt Business**

There was no exempt business.

Duration of meeting: 11.00 am - 3.35 pm

Chairman

.....

This page is intentionally left blank

Application Number:	P/FUL/2022/01062		
Webpage:	https://planning.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/		
Site address:	Barnack Chambers 9-9A West Street Blandford Forum DT11 7AW		
Proposal:	Change of use of the first and second floors from offices (use class E(g)) to a house in multiple occupation.		
Applicant name:	JKL (Enterprises) Ltd		
Case Officer:	Simon Sharp		
Ward Member(s):	Cllr Lacey-Clarke And Cllr Byron Quayle		
Publicity expiry date:	12 April 2022	Officer site visit date:	22 nd March 2022
Decision due date:	16 May 2022	Ext(s) of time:	

1.0 Reason for committee referral

- 1.1 The application is being referred to committee due to the objection from the Town Council.

2.0 Summary of recommendation:

- 2.1 Grant permission subject to conditions.

3.0 Reason for the recommendation:

- 3.1 The location is considered to be sustainable
- 3.2 There is no harm to the architectural and historical qualities of the listed building, the setting of nearby listed buildings will be preserved as will the character and appearance of the conservation area.
- 3.3 The room sizes are considered to be acceptable; they comply with the Licensing of Houses in Multiple Occupation (Mandatory Conditions of Licences) (England) Regulations 2018.
- 3.4 There are no adverse residential amenity impacts arising from this proposal.

4.0 Key planning issues

Issue	Conclusion
Principle of development	Within Blandford Forum's settlement limit (as defined in the Neighbourhood Plan) and within a sustainable location. Although in the Neighbourhood Plan's defined primary shopping area of the Town Centre, the ground floor retail is not affected by the proposal. The Neighbourhood Plan policy is silent on HMO uses on upper floors but does support C3 dwellings in such locations. The introduction of residential accommodation on the upper floor is considered to contribute positively to the vitality and viability of the town centre and brings vacant space back into use.
Scale, design, impact on character and appearance	Minimal external interventions proposed and all confined to the rear elevation which has been the subject of previous, insensitive alterations in the past.
Impact on amenity	Acceptable room sizes and layout with communal space propose. Access for residents to the river frontage public open space within 2 minutes' walk.
Impact on landscape or heritage assets	There is no harm to the architectural and historical qualities of the listed building, the setting of nearby listed buildings will be preserved as will the character and appearance of the conservation area.
Economic benefits	Reintroduction of residential use on upper floors of town centre building has potential to contribute to the area's viability and vitality.
Access and Parking	No on-site parking is proposed but the site is within a sustainable location and the demands of the existing office use must be considered in the overall balance.

5.0 Description of Site

- 5.1 Barnack Chambers is a grade II listed, three storey building within the heart of Blandford Forum's town centre.
- 5.2 The building dates from the late C18th with seven bays of sash windows paired between the first and second floors. Altered Victorian shop fronts flank a covered passage (Barnack Walk) leading to a row of shops. The ground floor retail units and chambers above are independent of each other with no internal link. The building is

listed for its group value as part of the row along this side of West Street. Surrounding land uses are predominantly commercial including ground floor shops and public houses and offices above.

6.0 Description of Development

- 6.1 The application is for the material change of use of the first and second floors from offices to a house in multiple occupation with 7 bedrooms (this is a sui generis use above the 3-6 persons occupation threshold for class C4).
- 6.2 There are limited external interventions proposed which are limited to the rear elevation. The first of these are the replacement of a window with a door to serve the proposed room at the rear of the building on the first floor (the door leads out onto the existing fire escape landing). The second alteration is the introduction of a window to the proposed second floor.

7.0 Relevant Planning History

- 7.1 There is a concurrent application for listed building consent (LBC/2022/01062).

8.0 List of Constraints

- 8.1 The site is in flood zone 3.
- 8.2 The following are listed buildings: -
 - a) 9 and 11 West Street (Grade II). This is the site.
 - b) 5 and 7, West Street (Grade II). This building abuts the site to the east.
 - c) Crown and Anchor Public House (Grade II). This building abuts the site to the west.
 - d) 6 and 8, West Street (Grade II). This building is opposite.

9.0 Consultations

9.1 Blandford Forum Town Council

Objects. Whilst the Town Council welcomes residential development above retail, as supported by B+NP policy B8, and agrees that the proposals do less than significant harm to the listed building, we do not believe that the proposals, as stated, are sustainable. The planning portal sets the minimum space requirements for such developments as 37sqm for each flat. The applicant has not demonstrated that this is the case. (The largest flat is 16.05sqm square and the smallest 10.4sqm). Therefore, the Town Council believes that the application for four studio flats (first floor) and three studio flats (second floor) will lead to a cramped and unsustainable form of development, which gives less than the minimum space required for individual occupancy. The Town Council is also concerned about fire safety regulations.

9.2 DC Highways

No objections.

9.3 Other representations received

Total - Objections	Total - No Objections	Total - Comments
0	0	0

Petitions Objecting	Petitions Supporting
0	0

10.0 Duties

10.1 Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 states:-

“If regard is to be had to the development plan for the purpose of any determination to be made under the planning Acts the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.”

This sets the development plan's primacy in decision making.

10.2 The site is a listed building and adjoins listed buildings. Section 66 of the Listed Buildings Act 1990 states: -

“(for) development which affects a listed building or its setting, the local planning authority...shall have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses.”

10.3 The site is within the Blandford Forum Conservation Area. Section 72(1) of the Listed Buildings Act 1990 applies:-

“special attention shall be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area.”

11.1 Development Plan policies

North Dorset Local Plan Part 1 (2016)

11.1 The site is within the defined town centre and within the town's settlement limits. It's also within a conservation area and is a listed building. The following policies are therefore considered relevant: -

Policy 1 – Presumption in favour of sustainable development.

Policy 2 – Core Spatial Strategy

Policy 5 – The Historic Environment

Policy 6 – Housing distribution

Policy 7 – Delivering homes

Policy 16 – Blandford

Policy 25 – Amenity

Blandford + Neighbourhood Plan

11.2 The site is within the defined town centre and within the town's settlement limits. It's also within a conservation area and is a listed building. The following policies are therefore considered relevant: -

B1 - Blandford Forum and Blandford St. Mary settlement boundaries.

B8 - Blandford Forum Town Centre

B11 - Managing Design in the Conservation Area: Blandford Forum

12.0 Other material considerations

National Planning Policy Framework 2021

12.1 Section 5 'Delivering a sufficient supply of homes' outlines the government's objective in respect of land supply with subsection 'Rural housing' at paragraphs 78-79 reflecting the requirement for development in rural areas.

12.2 Section 12 'Achieving well designed places indicates that all development to be of a high quality in design, and the relationship and visual impact of it to be compatible with the surroundings. In particular, and amongst other things, Paragraphs 126 – 136 advise that: The Government attaches great importance to the design of the built environment. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, is indivisible from good planning, and should contribute positively to making places better for people.

12.3 Section 16 'Conserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment'- When considering designated heritage assets, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation, irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance (para 199). The effect of an application on the significance of non-designated heritage assets should also be taken into account (para 203).

12.4 In Annex 1, paragraph 218 advises that the policies in the NPPF are material considerations which should be taken into account in dealing with applications from the day of its publication. The following paragraph (219) states that development plan policies should not be considered out-of-date simply because they were adopted or made prior to the publication of NPPF. Due weight should be given to them, according to their degree of consistency with this Framework (the closer the policies in the plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given.

13.0 Human rights

13.1 Article 6 - Right to a fair trial.

Article 8 - Right to respect for private and family life and home.

The first protocol of Article 1 Protection of property.

This recommendation is based on adopted Development Plan policies, the application of which does not prejudice the Human Rights of the applicant or any third party.

14.0 Public Sector Equalities Duty

14.1 As set out in the Equalities Act 2010, all public bodies, in discharging their functions must have “due regard” to this duty. There are 3 main aims:-

- Removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics
- Taking steps to meet the needs of people with certain protected characteristics where these are different from the needs of other people
- Encouraging people with certain protected characteristics to participate in public life or in other activities where participation is disproportionately low.

Whilst there is no absolute requirement to fully remove any disadvantage the Duty is to have “regard to” and remove or minimise disadvantage and in considering the merits of this planning application the planning authority has taken into consideration the requirements of the Public Sector Equalities Duty.

14.1 All of the rooms are on the first floor and there is no lift proposed. This will prevent occupancy to people with mobility difficulties. However, this matter needs to be balanced against the duties arising from the fact that the building is grade II listed and shops are being retained on the ground floor and the start of Barnack Walk.

15.0 Financial benefits

15.1 There will be minor benefits in terms of employment to those in the construction sector derived from the conversion phase of the development.

15.2 The space is currently vacant. There will be benefits derived from the receipt of Council Tax by the authority.

16.0 Climate Implications

16.1 The proposal secures the efficient reuse of the upper floors of an existing building within a sustainable location.

17.0 Planning Assessment

Principle

- 17.1 The site is within Blandford Forum's settlement limit (as defined in the Neighbourhood Plan) and within a sustainable location. Healthcare, employment and other facilities are all within an acceptable, short walk of less than 200m in most cases, along lit, segregated footways. The town centre's bus stops are a short distance away with connections to larger centres, including Poole.
- 17.2 Although in the Neighbourhood Plan's defined primary shopping area of the Town Centre, the ground floor retail is not affected by the proposal. The Neighbourhood Plan policy is silent on HMO uses on upper floors but does support C3 dwellings in such locations. The introduction of residential accommodation on the upper floor is considered to accord with the spirit of the policy and contributes positively to the vitality and viability of the town centre and brings vacant space back into use. The loss of office space is not considered to be material to the determination of the application given the availability of such office space elsewhere in the town and that these floors are currently vacant.
- 17.3 The principle of the development is considered acceptable.

Heritage

- 17.4 The significance of the listed building is derived mostly from its group value with other buildings in the same row on this side of West Street. The façade is therefore important; the interior is much altered and contributes little if anything to the significance. Likewise, the rear elevation has been altered and, whilst visible from Barnack Walk, is of no particular historic or architectural merit.
- 17.5 All of the site is within the town's conservation area. There is no approved conservation area appraisal but there is a document dating from 1970 – Blandford Conserve and Enhance which, despite being published so long ago, still remains relevant as a reference for describing the character and appearance of the area. The document focuses on the main street scene on West Street, which will remain unchanged as a result of this proposal.
- 17.6 There will be no harm to the significance of the listed building (9-11 West Street) the architectural and historical qualities of which will be preserved. The character and appearance of the conservation area will also be preserved as will the setting of adjoining listed buildings.

Residential amenity (including space standards)

- 17.7 The Town Council's comments are material planning considerations, but the case officer believes they may have been fuelled by a mistaken application of the national

space standards for new dwellings rather than the relevant regulations for Houses in Multiple Occupations (HMOs).

17.8 The case officer has checked the room sizes and noted the existence of communal kitchens etc. and considers that the proposal does comply with the Regulations' standards. The relevant Housing Improvement Team officer within the Council has been consulted but no comment has been received. Members are advised that the proposal will need Licensing as well as Building Regulations approval.

17.9 The development is therefore not considered to be cramped and unsustainable.

Flood risk

17.10 The site is within flood zone 3 but the proposal involves the conversion of upper floors of a building. The sequential assessment of sites of less probability of flooding is therefore not applicable. The proposal will not increase the risk of flooding within the site or off-site.

Parking

17.11 The proposal does not include any on-site parking. However, the proposal includes the loss of office space (which would include a demand for parking). It is also, in North Dorset terms, in a very sustainable location within Blandford Forum's town centre. In this context, the proposal is considered acceptable. The Highways Officer raises no objection.

Other matters

17.12 The Town Council have raised concerns in relation to fire safety. This is a matter for Building Regulations.

16.0 Conclusion

16.1 This is a development plan accordant proposal for residential accommodation in a sustainable location that has the potential to contribute positively to rather than adversely impact on the viability and vitality of the town centre. The proposal brings back into use the upper floors of a designated heritage asset.

17.0 Recommendation

17.1 Grant permission subject to the following conditions

1. The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason: This condition is required to be imposed by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

020/005/02 Rev A

020/005/03 Rev B

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 7

Application Number:	P/RES/2022/00263		
Webpage:	https://planning.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/		
Site address:	Land to the south east of Lodden Lakes New Road Gillingham Dorset		
Proposal:	Develop land by the erection of up to 115 no. dwellings, form vehicular access from New Road and Lodden Lakes Phase 1, form public open space. (Outline application to determine access) (reserved matters application to determine appearance, landscaping, layout & scale following the grant of outline planning permission P/OUT/2020/00495)		
Applicant name:	Taylor Wimpey Southern Counties		
Case Officer:	Ross Cahalane		
Ward Member(s):	Cllr Val Potheary, Cllr Belinda Ridout, Cllr David Walsh		
Publicity expiry date:	8 April 2022	Officer site visit date:	09 March 2022
Decision due date:	9 June 2022	Ext(s) of time:	15 th July 2022

1.0 Committee decision given the scale of development involved and its context within the wider strategic allocation at Gillingham.

2.0 Summary of recommendation:

GRANT subject to conditions

3.0 Reason for the recommendation:

- The principle of residential development on this site has already been established
- Para 11 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out that permission should be granted for sustainable development unless specific policies in the NPPF indicate otherwise
- The proposal is acceptable in its design, scale, layout and landscaping
- There is not considered to be any significant harm to residential amenity
- There are no material considerations which would warrant refusal of this application.

4.0 Key planning issues

Issue	Conclusion
-------	------------

Principle of development	The principle of development was agreed through the grant of Outline planning permission (P/OUT/2020/00495) and a Local Plan allocation supported by the Gillingham Neighbourhood Plan.
Layout	The layout provides a positive town edge towards open space and the River Lodden. It also provides sufficient natural surveillance and pedestrian linkages throughout, along with three footpath links to Phase 1.
Scale	The proposal is acceptable scale, complying with the aims of the Outline parameters. Some taller (2.5 – 3-storey) buildings are provided at appropriate landmark locations.
Appearance	The materials palette and mix is consistent with Phase 1, with some variation at key locations. Some dwellings along the spine road and countryside edge now have chimney features, to add some distinction to these key quality areas.
Landscaping	The revised scheme now provides a sufficient amount of street trees and planting specification throughout the site. The proposed location and specification of the Local Area of Play (LAP) within the middle of the site is also considered acceptable.
Affordable Housing	20 units are proposed for Affordable Rent and 8 for Shared Ownership, to be managed by a Registered Provider. This is in accordance with the outline s106 legal agreement.
Highway safety and parking	Following the submission of a speed reduction measure for the main spine road, the Highway Authority has raised no objections on highway safety, policy or capacity grounds, subject to compliance with the Outline conditions.
Residential amenity	It is not considered that the proposal would lead to adverse impact on the residential amenity of surrounding neighbours.
Flood risk and drainage	The proposed dwellings remain outside of the flood risk zones. The full and precise flood risk and drainage requirements remain subject to Outline Conditions 8-12.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	The outline planning application included an Environmental Statement (ES). An EIA Statement of Conformity (SoC) has been prepared for the Reserved Matters application, and it is considered that there would be no material change to the findings of the ES of December 2020.
Other matters	Potential contamination is controlled by Conditions 21-23 of the Outline permission.

5.0 Description of Site

The site comprises an area of approximately 6.73 hectares, which is wholly within the Strategic Site Allocation – Gillingham Southern Extension (Policy 21). The land is identified as 'Land to the East of Lodden Lakes' in Policy 21 of the Local Plan Part 1 (2016).

Gillingham is located to the north of the North Dorset District Boundary, approximately 35km to the west of Salisbury. It is recognised as one of the main towns in NDDC which serves a wide catchment of surrounding villages and settlements.

The application site is located to the south of Gillingham town. It comprises an area of agricultural land with a gentle north west to south east gradient towards the River Lodden on the south east boundary. There are no existing buildings within the application site. The site adjoins two coarse fishing lakes (Lodden Lakes) to the west and lies south of land which is currently being developed by the applicant for housing (Lodden Lakes Phase 1 – 90 dwellings).

The site has access frontage to New Road (B3092) on the western boundary. Access will also be available from the primary vehicle route through the Phase 1 development on adjoining land to the north, which in turn has access from Addison Close which joins New Road at a T-junction to the northwest of the Phase 1 site. To the south of the site, beyond the River Lodden is the other site 'Land to the South of Ham' which forms part of the Gillingham Southern Extension Strategic Site Allocation (SSA). This area of land has outline planning permission for 961 dwellings and other permissions for associated infrastructure (please see planning history).

6.0 Description of Development

This application seeks approval of reserved matters for appearance, landscaping, layout and scale in relation to outline approval P/OUT/2020/00495. In line with this approved outline application, this application proposes 115 dwellings, comprising:

- 2x one-bedroom flats and 6x two-bedroom flats (all Affordable), within a three storey building adjacent the New Road vehicular access
- 35x two-bedroom dwellings (9 Affordable), comprising 11 terraced dwellings, 14 semi-detached dwellings and 10 flat over garage units
- 47x three-bedroom dwellings (9 Affordable), comprising 12 detached dwellings, 2 link-detached dwellings, 24 semi-detached dwellings and 9 terraced dwellings
- 25x four-bedroom dwellings (2 Affordable), comprising 24 detached dwellings and 1 end-terraced dwelling.

The above includes 20 units for Affordable Rent and 8 for Shared Ownership. The affordable homes will be in four locations across the development.

The proposed dwellings would be mainly two storey in form, with some 2.5 storey dwellings with front dormers. The apartment block adjacent the New Road vehicular access would be three storey.

Details of access were approved in the original outline, and are unchanged. This approved a new vehicular access off New Road to the southwest, along with a vehicular access linking from Phase 1 to the north. Parking spaces are generally located on-plot or street facing, along with parking courts. Many of the larger dwellings feature garages.

Landscaping is provided throughout the site, including open space and street trees. A landscaped buffer is proposed along the southern and eastern periphery, to provide a 3.58ha area of open space incorporating integrated SuDS features (five surface water attenuation ponds) and an approx. 350sq m Local Area of Play (LAP). A Play Trail is also provided within this amenity open space.

Amended plans to the overall layout were received following issues raised by the Council's Highway Authority, Housing Enabling, Landscape, Natural Environment and Urban Design Officers. These amendments are referred to in the planning assessment below.

The application also includes details submitted to seek discharge of Condition nos. 7 and 11 of the Outline permission. Condition 7 requires Reserved Matters to include a landscape management plan, to include long term design objectives, management responsibilities and maintenance schedules for all landscape areas, other than small, privately owned, domestic gardens. Condition 11 requires submission of drawings to demonstrate that the finished floor levels are set above the design flood level plus suitable allowances for climate change. These details are considered at Appendix 1 at the end of this report.

7.0 Relevant Planning History

Phase 1

2/2011/0824/PLNG

Request for EIA Screening Opinion in respect of the proposed erection of 90 No.dwellings.

Decision: Determined Decision Date: 09/08/2011

2/2014/1230/SCREIA - Request for EIA Screening Opinion under Regulation 5 of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations 2011 to develop land by the erection of up to 90 No. dwellings with public open space and vehicular access from Addison Close.

Decision: Determined Decision Date: 08/10/2014

2/2014/0968/OUT- Develop the land by erection of up to 90 No. dwellings with public open space and create access from Addison Close, (outline application to determine access).

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 11/05/2015

2/2014/1315/SCOEIA - Request for scoping opinion relating to proposed mixed use

sustainable urban extension regulation 13 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 2011 as amended (S.I. 2011/1824) ("THE REGULATIONS")
Decision: Determined Decision Date: 12/12/2014

2/2018/0483/REM - Erect 90 No. dwellings with garages, bin / cycle store, building to house electricity sub-station and associated infrastructure, including play areas and public open space. (Reserved Matters application to determine appearance, landscaping, layout and scale, following the grant of Outline Planning Permission No. 2/2014/0968/OUT).

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 27/02/2019

P/ADV/2020/00295 - Erect one hoarding advertisement sales sign

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 05/03/2021

Phase 2

P/OUT/2020/00495 - Develop land by the erection of up to 115 No. dwellings, form vehicular access from New Road and Lodden Lakes Phase 1, form public open space. (Outline application to determine access)

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 06/01/2022

P/MPO/2022/02692 - Modification of S106 Agreement dated 23/12/21 (LPA ref. P/OUT/2020/00495) to amend agreement wording from 25% to 28no. affordable housing units & pay 0.75 of a unit as a commuted sum.

Decision: Pending

Pre-application discussions (P/PAP/2021/00345) were held in respect of the Reserved Matters now submitted for formal consideration.

Gillingham Strategic Site Allocation

2/2018/0036/OUT - Develop land by construction of an urban extension to the south of Gillingham between Shaftesbury Road (B3081) and New Road (B3092). The urban extension would comprise up to 961 dwellings. Up to 2,642 sq. m. in a new local centre providing retail, community, health and leisure uses, new and enhanced pedestrian/cycle routes, open spaces, roads, car parking and vehicular access. To include all ancillary works and associated infrastructure (Outline application to determine access only). West of Shaftesbury Road at Land South of Gillingham, Shaftesbury Road, Gillingham, Dorset.

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 09/09/2021

2/2018/0077/OUT - Develop land by the erection of up to 634 dwellings (use class C3), a primary school (use class D1), sports pitches with floodlighting, public open space, play facilities, access and internal estate roads, internal footpaths and

cycleways, sustainable drainage system with ponds, landscaping, utility connections and associated/infrastructure. (Outline application to determine access only). Land at Park Farm Kingsmead Business Park, Gillingham, Dorset

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 22/11/2021

2/2020/0379/FUL - Construction of a Principal Street, associated access, landscaping and infrastructure works at land to the East of New Road (B3092), Gillingham. Approved November 2020.

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 18/11/2021

P/FUL/2020/00282 - Form a temporary access for the construction of the Gillingham Principal Street.

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 13/04/2021

P/FUL/2021/00063 - Form a floodplain compensation area as part of land adjacent to Gillingham Principal Street.

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 09/09/2021

P/VOC/2021/01567 - Construction of a Principal Street, associated access, landscaping and infrastructure works at land to the East of New Road (B3092), Gillingham. (Variation of Condition No. 3 of Planning Permission No. 2/2020/0379/FUL to allow an alternative location for the site compound).

Decision: Granted Decision Date: 29/06/2021

8.0 List of Constraints

Agricultural Land: Grade 3

Flood Zone 2

Flood Zone 3

HSE Hazardous Installations: Brickfields Business Park, New Road, Gillingham,

9.0 Consultations

All consultee responses can be viewed in full on the website.

Consultees

Environment Agency: No objection. Condition 11 (finished floor levels) of the Outline permission can also be discharged.

Natural England: No comments to make.

Dorset Council – Environmental Health: No objection, subject to conditions.

DC – Highway Authority: No objection, subject to compliance with the Outline conditions *[See Section 15 planning assessment below]*

DC - Housing Enabling Team – Comments: *[See Section 15 planning assessment below]*

DC – Landscape: Unable to support *[See Section 15 planning assessment below]*

DC - Natural Environment Team: Further information/amendments required for the proposed open space *[See Section 15 planning assessment below]*

DC - Minerals & Waste Policy: Comments:

- The proposed development lies partly within the consultation buffer of a site allocated in the Bournemouth, Christchurch, Poole and Dorset Waste Plan 2019
- The safeguarded site is the allocated Inset 3 – Area of Search at Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham. This is for a new Household Waste Recycling Centre (HWRC), to be located somewhere within the allocated area of search
- Important that this proposed allocation is taken into consideration as the Lodden Lakes development is progressed, to ensure there are no impacts on either the proposed housing or the development of a new household waste recycling centre within the allocated area of search. For example, a HWRC can generate large volumes of traffic at certain times of the day/week.

DC – Senior Ranger: Comments:

- No objection, but request that due consideration be given to the additional burden that the increasing number of residents will place upon the existing rights of way network and a suitable contribution be made towards the maintenance of the network along with the following list of improvements within a mile radius of the development
- Design and Access Statement shows a bridge with the text ‘future bridge link to be provided by Dorset Council’. Neither the Highways Bridges Team nor I know anything about this so would be grateful to know who will provide a bridge

[Officer comment: Although the s106 Agreement secures contributions towards community transport, sustainable transport and town-wide personalised travel, it does not require a contribution towards public footpaths. The applicant has also responded to say that the provision of a bridge was discussed with the Council at the outline application pre-application, in which the Highways Officer acknowledged that the applicant was not expected to provide a bridge, but that this would be addressed later by the Council with the involvement of the Environment Agency. The position was re-confirmed at the reserved matters pre-application meeting, and consequently did not form part of the planning obligations in the s106 agreement to the outline planning permission.]

This outcome reflects the adopted/approved policy position: i.e. the Master Plan Framework notes that a bridge over the River Lodden between Lodden Lakes phase 2 and the Welbeck land was 'potential' future infrastructure as a possible alternative to the planned Principal Street pedestrian/cycleway.]

DC – Trees: No objection [See Section 15 planning assessment below]

DC - Urban Design Officer: Unable to support [See Section 15 planning assessment below]

DC - Section 106 Lead Project Officer: Comments:

The outline consent P/OUT/2020/00495 is accompanied by a comprehensive s106 agreement. On the understanding that this application will be determined in accordance with this s106, no further comments. This is without prejudice to other DC consultee responses.

Gillingham Town Council: Recommend approval.

Representations received

At time of preparation of this report, no representations have been received.

Total - Objections	Total - No Objections	Total - Comments
0	1	0

10.0 Relevant Policies

Local Plan: The North Dorset Local Plan Part 1 (LPP1) was adopted by North Dorset District Council (NDDC) on 15 January 2016. It, along with policies retained from the 2003 North Dorset District-Wide Local Plan, 1 and the 'made' Gillingham Neighbourhood Plan, form the development plan for North Dorset. Planning applications should be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Relevant applicable policies in the adopted North Dorset Local Plan Part 1, January 2016 are as follows:

Policy 1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

Policy 2: Core Spatial Strategy

Policy 3: Climate Change

Policy 4: The Natural Environment

Policy 5: The Historic Environment

Policy 6: Housing Distribution

Policy 7: Delivering Homes

Policy 8: Affordable Housing

Policy 11: The Economy

Policy 12: Retail, Leisure and Other Commercial Developments

Policy 13: Grey Infrastructure

Policy 14: Social Infrastructure
Policy 15: Green Infrastructure
Policy 17: Gillingham
Policy 21: Gillingham Strategic Site Allocation
Policy 23: Parking
Policy 24: Design
Policy 25: Amenity

Gillingham Neighbourhood Plan

The Gillingham Neighbourhood Plan was 'made' on 27 July 2018 and forms part of the Development Plan for North Dorset. Relevant policies applicable to these outline applications are:

Policy 1. Custom and self-build housing
Policy 4. Support improvements in existing employment sites
Policy 12. Pedestrian and cycle links
Policy 13. Road designs in new development
Policy 14. New and improved health and social care provision
Policy 15. New and improved education and training facilities
Policy 16. New and improved community, leisure and cultural venues
Policy 17. Formal outdoor sports provision
Policy 18. Equipped play areas and informal recreation / amenity spaces
Policy 19. Allotments
Policy 20. Accessible natural green space and river corridors
Policy 23. The pattern and shape of development
Policy 24. Plots and buildings
Policy 25. Hard and soft landscaping

Other Material Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF):

The NPPF has been updated with a revised version published July 2021. The following sections and paragraphs are relevant to this outline application:

1. Introduction
2. Achieving sustainable development
4. Decision-making
5. Delivering a sufficient supply of homes
6. Building a strong, competitive economy
8. Promoting healthy and safe communities
9. Promoting sustainable transport
10. Supporting high quality communications
11. Making effective use of land
12. Achieving well designed places
14. Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change
15. Conserving and enhancing the natural environment
16. Conserving and enhancing the historic environment

The presumption in favour of sustainable development

Para 11 – Plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development [...]

For decision-taking this means:

- c) approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay...

Current housing land supply

The Council is still not providing a sufficient supply of housing in the North Dorset area, following the latest 2021 Housing Delivery Test. Officers note that where a 5-year supply of housing land cannot be demonstrated, paragraph 11d i) and ii) of the Framework outlines the implications for how development proposals should be determined. It states that where the (local) development plan is absent, silent or relevant policies are out-of-date, planning permission should be granted unless the adverse impact of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits.

Dorset Council Local Plan (Consultation version January 2021)

Dorset Council have produced a draft Local Plan containing proposals for guiding future development over the whole of the Dorset Council area up to 2038. The initial consultation period ran until the 15 March 2021. Given its early stage of consultation the weight to be given to it is very limited.

Relevant Policies;

DEV4: Growth in the northern Dorset functional area

DEV9: Neighbourhood plans

ENV1: Green infrastructure: strategic approach

ENVV4: Landscape

ENV8: The landscape and townscape context

ENV11: Amenity

ENV13: Flood risk

ENV14: Sustainable drainage systems (SuDs)

HOUS1: Housing Mix

HOUS2: Affordable housing

COM4: Recreation, sports facilities and open space

COM8: Parking standards in new development

COM9: Provision of infrastructure for electric and other low emission vehicles

COM12: The provision of utilities service infrastructure

GILL2: Gillingham Southern Extension

Master Plan Framework (MPF), August 2018

The Master Plan Framework (MPF) was prepared by a consortium of three developers Taylor Wimpey, CG Fry and Welbeck over the period 2015-2018, working with and in consultation with the officers at North Dorset District Council (now Dorset Council). The MPF is a requirement of Policy 21 of the NDLP. It covers the whole SSA and was a pre-requisite to the submission and consideration of any planning applications for development.

The MPF sets out the overall vision for the SSA, from which an analysis of constraints and opportunities provides the basis of a Framework Masterplan in the MPF. The analysis covered the key planning, transport, landscape and delivery aspects of the various land parcels. The site investigations led to a series of plans that set out the site opportunities and responses to constraints in terms of topography, views to/from the site, green infrastructure, walkable neighbourhoods, transport links, density, form and open space.

North Dorset District Council Landscape Character Assessment (2008)

The site lies within the Dorset Landscape Character Assessment 'Clay Vale' landscape character type and the North Dorset District Council Landscape Character Assessment 'Blackmore Vale' landscape character type. The area forms of a broad expansive clay vale with a mosaic of woods and pastoral fields bounded by straight hedgerows dotted with mature Oaks. Open layered views are possible across the gently undulating landscape to the low hills of the chalk escarpment which forms a backdrop. The area has a dense network of twisting lanes often with grass verges and sharp double 90 degree bends. It is also characterised by a network of ditches, streams and brooks which drain into the tributaries of the River Stour. There are numerous small villages and hamlets across the area built with distinctive mix of materials such as stone, red brick, tile and thatch.

Gillingham Town Design Statement (adopted 2012)

The Gillingham Town Design Statement (TDS) was adopted by Cabinet on 19 March 2012 and endorsed by Council on 30 March 2012, as an evidence base study. It was developed to safeguard the local characteristics of the Town, and to encourage sensitive, high quality design where new development occurs. It details distinctive local features and policies to inform those applying for planning permission what should be considered when preparing a scheme for submission.

11.0 Human rights

Article 6 - Right to a fair trial.

Article 8 - Right to respect for private and family life and home.

The first protocol of Article 1 Protection of property.

This recommendation is based on adopted Development Plan policies, the application of which does not prejudice the Human Rights of the applicant or any third party.

12.0 Public Sector Equalities Duty

As set out in the Equalities Act 2010, all public bodies, in discharging their functions must have "due regard" to this duty. There are 3 main aims:-

- Removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics
- Taking steps to meet the needs of people with certain protected characteristics where these are different from the needs of other people
- Encouraging people with certain protected characteristics to participate in public life or in other activities where participation is disproportionately low.

Whilst there is no absolute requirement to fully remove any disadvantage the Duty is to have "regard to" and remove or minimise disadvantage and in considering the merits of this planning application the planning authority has taken into consideration the requirements of the Public Sector Equalities Duty.

The development proposal would not raise any specific concerns/impacts for those persons with protected characteristics.

13.0 Financial benefits

What	Amount / value
Material Considerations	
Affordable housing	28 units and a contribution in lieu towards 0.75 dwellings, in accordance with the Local Plan
Quantum of greenspace	Open space along eastern and southern boundary, a Local Area of Play (LAP) and a Play Trail.
Employment created during construction phase	The proposal will support local jobs in the construction sector and will bring about 'added value' in the local area through associated spending and economic activity.
Spending in local economy by residents of proposed dwellings	The proposal will support the local economy, providing housing required to support the long-term economic growth in the area with new residents spending on goods and services as they move in.
Non Material Considerations	
Contributions to Council Tax Revenue	According to the appropriate charging bands

14.0 Climate Implications

In May 2019, Dorset Council declared a Climate Emergency and there is a heightened expectation that the planning department will secure reductions in the carbon footprint of developments. This can be secured by planning condition to requiring a Sustainability Statement to include details of the proposed renewable technology measures and/or efficiency measures. Condition 19 of the Outline planning permission requires details of a scheme to install infrastructure within the plots with garages to facilitate charging for plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles to be submitted to and agreed in writing by the Council prior to the commencement the development.

15.0 Planning Assessment

The principle of development was agreed through the grant of Outline planning permission (P/OUT/2020/00495) and a Local Plan allocation supported by the Gillingham Neighbourhood Plan. The Outline permission also approved the means of access to the site.

The main issues of this reserved matters application are considered to relate to:

- Layout
- Scale
- Appearance
- Landscaping
- Affordable Housing

- Highway safety and parking
- Residential amenity
- Flood risk and drainage
- Other matters

Layout

The Council's **Urban Design Officer (UDO)** commented that the layout is generally well designed, incorporating perimeter block development and ensuring that public areas would be well-surveyed by active frontages. For instance, the corner dwelling at Plot 9 faces the main spine road and open space near the New Road vehicular access, to provide a sense of arrival.

The UDO however raised concern regarding Plots 54-57 fronting the rear of Plots 22-24 in terms of creating poor outlook and potential issues of security and misuse, as a retained hedge would be sited in between. The house type on Plot 21 at the main spine road corner has now been changed to front the end of this hedge, which would offer natural surveillance and make this space more defensible. The rear garden to Plot 24 has also been increased in size.

Overall, it is concluded that the amended layout satisfactorily addresses the concerns raised by the UDO as set out above. The layout would also provide sufficient pedestrian linkages between dwellings and open space throughout, along with three footpath links to Phase 1 to the north. The layout is therefore considered acceptable and would accord with Policy 4 and 24 of the Local Plan, and the NPPF.

Scale

The UDO commented that variations in scale are used to good effect within the proposed plans to denote landmark dwellings. Although officers note that three 2.5 storey dwellings (plots 30, 31 and 41) are proposed within the "up to 2-storey" building heights zone, one of these dwellings is where the LAP was indicated and is surrounded by Outline-accepted "up to 2.5 storey" zones. An appropriate row of four semi-detached 2.5 storey dwellings (with pitched roof front dormers) is therefore provided along this section of the main spine road. The other two dwellings are to the west and are also semi-detached with pitched roof front dormers. As they are located facing a secondary junction visible from the main spine road, they are considered acceptable as appropriate landmark dwellings. The scale and layout now put forward otherwise complies with the Outline building height zones.

In light of all the above, officers consider that the overall scale of buildings proposed remains within the overall terms of the Outline permission.

Appearance

The UDO commented that the proposed development generally deals with turning the corner and activating the streetscene through fenestration very well. Although an additional lounge window on the side elevations of plots 28 and 69 is requested, officers note that these areas are surveilled by other front elevations and do not face the main spine road. The UDO also requests that bathrooms and en-suites should be served by windows. However, the proposal already includes bathroom windows at appropriate corner plot locations where they would make a useful contribution to the streetscene e.g. Plots 17, 69 and 91.

The materials palette looks to build on that from phase 1 with consistency and some variation on key elevations, and is therefore supported. The Materials Distribution Plan shows that materials are proposed to be grouped together in small clusters, which is a positive feature as it would aid the creation of character areas. The coalescence of plot boundary and garage materials with their respective dwellings is commended, and the plot 57 garage has now been amended to include the same slate roof as its main dwelling.

The UDO also commented that the absence of chimney stacks is at odds with the local character assessment of the Outline application, which references dwellings with chimneys as forming part of the local vernacular. The applicant has now amended some of the dwellings along the spine road and facing the public open space to include chimneys – areas which were identified as key character locations at Outline stage. It is now considered that the scheme provides some regard to the local vernacular at appropriate locations.

In light of all the above, it is considered that the overall proposed layout, scale and appearance as amended would be acceptable. The proposal would comply with policy 24 of the Local Plan and the NPPF.

Landscaping

Hard and soft landscape details have been submitted, along with details for the Local Area of Play (LAP) and natural play trail and a Landscape Management Plan (subject to Outline Condition 7 – See Appendix 1 below). It is proposed to relocate the LAP slightly south from the location indicated on the Outline approved Land Use and Green Infrastructure Parameter Plans. The Outline s106 agreement however allows for the location of the LAP to be agreed at Reserved Matters stage. As the current proposed LAP would be within a more central location and would comply with the s106 requirements in terms of size and equipment, it is considered by officers to be acceptable.

The Council's **Senior Landscape Architect (LA)** commented that the submitted landscape details are broadly in line with the Outline approved parameter plans. In general, potential conflicts between the location of lighting columns and the proposed location of street trees seem to have been avoided. However, it was not clear whether this held true for underground services and drainage runs.

The LA also considered that the proposed streets are not tree-lined as now required by the NPPF and that within the parkland space, the quantum of trees proposed is insufficient, along with the size of some of the species. The LA also suggests planting of single specimens is avoided and that instead single species groups of 3No., 5No., and 7No. at minimum 4m spacings are planted in order to provide a contingency against loss due to damage and disease between planting and maturity.

The LA supported the use of underground topsoil cellular systems and noted the identification of minimum soil volumes for the tree species and cultivars to be used. However, it needs to be demonstrated that these minimum soil volumes can be

delivered for the individual trees and locations shown on the plans and the relationship between the proposed trees and the planting details is not clear.

The applicant has amended the overall landscape proposal, to provide the following:

- More trees added to groups of trees in the parkland open space area, plus more groups of trees
- Single trees in the parkland open space to be made up to groups of trees
- Tree species in the parkland open space substituted for those recommended by the LA
- Some additional street trees provided where conflicts with services can be avoided
- Soil and planting details provided in the revised LMP and landscape plans.

Following review of these amended/additional details, the LA now raises no objection.

The Council's **Natural Environment Team (NET)** also requested further information/amendments for the proposed LMP and open space. The LMP should include references to: government grant schemes; climate data/changes; details of play equipment; The Equalities Act; drainage design plans / regime; details of play equipment i.e. maker and maintenance; design sign-off by play inspector, and; annual inspections.

The revised plans for the LAP and Play Trail incorporate the above changes proposed by the NET. The soft landscape proposals have been amended to show berms alongside the access road where it adjoins the parkland open space area, to prevent unauthorised access to the amenity area by vehicles. The NET comments on the management of the LAP, open space and other landscape elements are also addressed in the revised LMP, which includes an annual management regime and is subject to Outline Condition 7. Matters regarding drainage do not fall within the scope of the LMP and are discussed further below. The amendments to the soft landscaping, play and LMP are considered acceptable by officers, as they suitably address the concerns raised.

Tree impacts

The Council's **Tree Officer** is satisfied with the proposed landscaping and post planting management, and is also satisfied that trees on site will not be detrimentally impacted upon by this proposal. Condition 6 of the outline permission requires submission of an Arboricultural Method Statement prepared by a qualified tree specialist, prior to commencement of development.

Conclusion

In light of all the above, officers consider that the proposed landscaping scheme is acceptable. Further planning conditions are proposed to secure its appropriate implementation and management.

Affordable Housing

20 units are proposed for Affordable Rent and 8 for Shared Ownership. These will be provided and maintained by a Registered Provider, and this tenure mix is in accordance with the s106 legal agreement signed at outline stage. The Affordable Rent units comprise 2x 1-bed flats, 6x 2-bed flats, 1x 2-bed flat-over-garage unit, 4x

2-bed dwellings, 7x 3-bed dwellings and 2x 4-bed dwellings. The Shared Ownership units comprise 4x 2-bed dwellings and 4x 3-bed dwellings.

The development will provide 115 homes and as the required 25% affordable contribution equates to 28.75 dwellings, an affordable contribution equivalent to 0.75 of a dwelling is also required. The site layout plans offer a good range of family homes and the initial layout proposed positioned the affordable properties in three areas of the site. However, the Council's **Housing Enabling Team** commented that more effort should be made to disperse the affordable units throughout the site.

The applicant has now amended the layout to provide four affordable dwellings along the spine road, which also means that the overall distribution is now in four locations across the site. Officers consider that the proposed affordable housing layout is policy compliant in terms of design, integration and provision across the site.

Highway safety

The proposed layout would provide 184 allocated parking spaces, 4 allocated carports, 58 allocated garages, along with 21 unallocated spaces and 20 visitor spaces distributed throughout the site. This amounts to 287 parking spaces within the site, at a ratio of 2.5 spaces per dwelling. Consistent with Phase 1 to the north, the current proposal provides an on-site cycle link by utilising the proposed access road through the residential area - linking New Road to Phase 1. Enclosed cycle parking space is provided for each unit.

The initial comments of **Dorset Council Highway Authority (CHA)** stated that a traffic calming feature is needed from the western access to the first junction within the site, to reduce vehicle speeds to 20mph or lower – by means of a junction plateau (or other suitable calming feature).

The applicant has now provided a junction plateau at this location. The CHA considers that the amendment has been made as requested and the geometry of the layout meets with both adoptive criteria and the guidance provided by Manual for Streets (MfS). Refuse collection has been considered and on-site parking numbers, for both cycles and cars, are considered to be appropriate for this location. Hence, the CHA has raised no objection to the Reserved Matters, subject to the same conditions previously imposed upon the Outline approval.

Matters regarding: vehicle access and visibility splay provision; cycle parking details; electric vehicle charge point details, and; Travel Plan, are subject to the conditions attached to the Outline planning permission.

Residential amenity

Impact on neighbours

The nearest dwellings to the proposal site would comprise those approved under the Phase 1 scheme to the north, with open land beyond to the east and south. The land to the west on the other side of New Road is designated for employment development. The proposed dwellings would be sited at sufficient distance from the approved Phase 1 dwellings to avoid any adverse impacts in terms of loss of light, outlook, privacy or overbearing impact.

The Council's Environmental Health Team has commented that due to the vicinity of existing residential dwellings to this site, demolition and construction works should be limited to 0700hrs – 1900hrs Mondays – Fridays; 0800hrs – 1300hrs Saturdays, and; no noisy activity on Sundays or Bank Holidays. Officers consider that such a condition is necessary and reasonable in order to protect residential amenity.

Impact on future occupiers

The floor plans of the 35 proposed 2-bed dwellings indicate four occupiers and the proposed 47 3-bed dwellings indicate five occupiers. Most of these dwellings would meet the minimum space standards if occupied by three/four persons respectively, with the remaining shortfall being relatively marginal ranging between approx. 1-6sqm. Additionally, all of the other proposed units throughout the scheme (including all of the affordable units) would meet or exceed the minimum space standards. As such, overall, it is considered that future occupiers would be afforded with sufficient internal living and storage space. Officers also consider that the built form relationships within the scheme would afford future occupiers with sufficient light, outlook and privacy.

Flood risk and drainage

The proposed development will continue to avoid development in Flood Zones 2 and 3 plus a climate change sensitivity buffer. Surface water attenuation will be achieved via five attenuation ponds outside the Flood Zones 2 and 3 including the climate sensitivity buffer, to ensure surface water runoff rates will be maintained at or below current greenfield run-off rates. The attenuation ponds will be located in the amenity open space along the southeast and have been incorporated into, and form part of, the amenity open space.

The current proposed layout relocates the foul drainage pumping station from southwest of the central hedgerow within the river valley amenity open space (as shown on the Outline Illustrative Masterplan) to about 45m northeast but still within the river valley open space. The foul drainage pumping station was however marked as illustrative at Outline stage. Additionally, the full and precise flood risk and drainage requirements remain subject to the Outline Conditions 8-12, which require submission of: a detailed surface water management scheme; details of maintenance and management of the scheme; foul drainage scheme details; and; a scheme for maintenance access to the River Lodden and associated flood risk management infrastructure.

Other matters

Matters regarding ecological design and management and potential contamination are also subject to the conditions attached to the Outline planning permission.

16.0 Conclusion

Outline planning permission for the construction of 115 dwellings, with details of access and the provision of 25% affordable housing, was granted with s106 legal agreement in January 2022. The principle of development is therefore established

subject to the details of reserved matters relating to layout, scale, appearance, and landscape – all of which make up this application.

The applicant has amended the details of the original submission to take account of concerns and comments raised in consultation. It is considered that the revised proposal accords with the terms of the Outline permission along with the overall aims of the Development Plan and the NPPF, having due regard to the context of this site.

17.0 Recommendation

APPROVAL of Reserved Matters, subject to the following conditions:

1. The development to which these reserved matters and accompanying details relates shall be begun not later than two years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the provision of Section 92(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

2. The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

Land use plan (Drawing No. UP00237-11); Site sections 2 of 7 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-0211 Rev P01); Site sections 5 of 7 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-0214 Rev P01); Site sections 6 of 7 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-0215 Rev P01); Site sections 7 of 7 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-0216 Rev P01);

Floor plans/elevations:

Apartments (Drawing No. UP00237-14-01); Plots 9-10 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-04-01-AA31/PT36 and UP00237-04-02-AA31/PT36); Plots 15, 79-80, 99 and 107 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-07-01-PT37 and UP00237-07-02-PT37); Plot 16 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-01-PT22 and UP00237-01-02-PT22); Plot 17 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-05-01-PA34 and UP00237-05-02-PA34); Plot 18 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-06-01-PT36 and UP00237-06-02-PT36); Plots 19-21, 49, 58-59, 78 and 108 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-09-01-NA42 and UP00237-09-02-NA42); Plots 22-24 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-02-01-PA25 and UP00237-02-02-PA25); Plots 25-26 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-03-03-AA23 and UP00237-03-04-AA23); Plots 29, 34 and 39 floor plans (Drawing No. UP00237-09-03-NA42; Plots 29 and 34 elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-09-04-NA42); Plots 30-31, 40-41, 42-43 and 100-101 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-08-01-NB32 and UP00237-08-02-NB32); Plots 32-33, 71-72, 95-96 and 113-114 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-05-03-PA34 and UP00237-05-04-PA34); Plots 35-37 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-05-05-PA34/PT36 and UP00237-05-06-PA34/PT36); Plot 38 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-03-PT22 and UP00237-01-04-PT22); Plot 44-46 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-02-03-PA25/PT36 and UP00237-02-04-PA25/PT36); Plot 47 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-12-01-NA44 and UP00237-12-02-NA44); Plot 48 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-05-PT22 and UP00237-01-05-PT22); Plot 52 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-07-PT22 and UP00237-01-08-PT22); Plot 53 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-06-05-PT36 and UP00237-06-06-PT36); Plots 54-56 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-02-05-PA25 and UP00237-02-06-PA25); Plot 57 (Drawing

Nos. UP00237-11-01-NT41 and UP00237-11-02-NT41); Plot 60-61 and 87 floor plans (Drawing No. UP00237-12-05-NA44); Plots 62-65 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-02-07-PA25 and UP00237-02-08-PA25); Plot 66 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-09-PT22 and UP00237-01-10-PT22); Plots 67-69 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-05-07-PA34 and UP00237-05-08-PA34); Plots 70, 86, 92 and 97 floor plans (Drawing Nos. UP00237-06-03-PT36 and UP00237-06-04-PT36); Plot 73 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-11-03-NT41 and UP00237-11-04-NT41); Plot 76 elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-01-12-PT22); Plot 77 and 93 floor plans (Drawing No. UP00237-12-03-NA44); Plot 77 and 93 elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-12-04-NA44); Plots 81, 88, and 89 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-10-01-NT40 and UP00237-10-02-NT40); Plots 82-84 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-02-09-PA25 and UP00237-02-10-PA25); Plot 85 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-13-PT22 and UP00237-01-14-PT22); Plot 87 elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-12-06-NA44); Plot 90 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-15-PT22 and UP00237-01-16-PT22); Plot 91 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-05-10-PA34 and UP00237-05-10-PA34); Plot 94 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-17-PT22 and UP00237-01-18-PT22); Plot 98 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-05-11-PA34 and UP00237-05-12-PA34); Plots 102-104 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-04-05-AA31/NA42 and UP00237-04-06-AA31/NA42); Plots 105-106 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-04-03-AA31 and UP00237-04-04-AA31); Plot 109 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-11-05-NT41 and UP00237-11-06-NT41); Plots 110-111 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-06-13-PA34/PT36 and Drawing No. UP00237-06-14-PA34/PT36); Plot 112 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-01-19-PT22 and UP00237-01-20-PT22); Plot 115 (Drawing No. UP00237-10-03-NT40 and UP00237-10-04-NT40)
- all received on 07th February 2022

Amended plans:

Site sections: 1 of 7 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-0210 Rev P02); 3 of 7 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-0212 Rev P02); 4 of 7 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-0213 Rev P02);

Garages floor plans and elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-13-01-ANC); Plots 9-10 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-04-01-AA31/PT36 and UP00237-04-02-AA31/PT36); Plots 11-14, 25-26 and 74-75 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-03-03-AA23 and UP00237-03-04-AA23); Plots 27-28 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-04-03-AA31 and UP00237-04-04-AA31); Plots 50-51 (Drawing Nos. UP00237-02-07-PA25 and UP00237-02-08-PA25)
all received on 17th May 2022

Site plan (Drawing No. UP00237-01); Materials distribution plan (Drawing No. UP00237-04); Parking distribution plan (Drawing No. UP00237-05);

Refuse collection plan (Drawing No. UP00237-06); Building heights plan (Drawing No. UP00237-07); Affordable housing distribution plan (Drawing No. UP00237-08 (A3));

Swept path analysis – refuse vehicle: Sheet 1 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2010 Rev P05); Sheet 2 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2011 Rev P05); Highway adoption and streetlight plans: Sheet 1 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2000 Rev P05); Sheet 2 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2001 Rev P05);

Amended drainage strategy: Sheet 1 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2600 Rev P03); Sheet 2 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2601 Rev P02);

Engineering strategy: Sheet 1 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2500 Rev P05); Sheet 2 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2501 Rev P05); Sheet 3 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2502 Rev P05); Sheet 4 (Drawing No.

17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2503 Rev P05); Sheet 5 (Drawing No. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2504 Rev P05);

Plot 39 elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-04-04-NA42); Plot 60 and 61 elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-12-06-NA44); Plot 76 floor plans (Drawing No. UP00237-01-11-PT22); Plot 92 elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-01-20-PT36); Plot 99 elevations (Drawing No. UP00237-07-02-PT37)

all received on 25th May 2022

Streetscenes: Sheet 1 (Drawing No. UP00237-09); Sheet 2 (Drawing No. UP00237-10) - both received on 27th May 2022.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

3. The development hereby approved shall be undertaken in accordance with the following approved hard and soft landscape details:

Landscape management zoning plan (Drawing No. JSL3270 571 Rev A); Tree pit details in soft landscaping (Drawing Nos. JSL3270 401; JSL3270 403); Tree pit details in hard landscaping (Drawing Nos. JSL3270 402; JSL3270 404); Tree and shrub palette (Doc Ref: JSL3270_550)

all received on 07th February 2022

Amended plans:

Detailed play areas: LAP (Drawing No. JSL3270 111 Rev C); Natural Play Trail (Drawing No. JSL3270 112 Rev B);

both received on 17th May 2022

Hard landscape proposals: Sheet 1 (Drawing No. JSL3270 201 Rev D); Sheet 2 (Drawing No. JSL3270 202 Rev D);

Soft landscape proposals: Sheet 1 (Drawing No. JSL3270 501 Rev E); Sheet 2 (Drawing No. JSL3270 502 Rev E); Sheet 3 (Drawing No. JSL3270 503 Rev E); Sheet (Drawing No. JSL3270 504 Rev E); Sheet 5 (Drawing No. JSL3270 505 Rev E); Sheet 6 (Drawing No. JSL3270 506 Rev E)

all received on 25th May 2022.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

4. The approved hard and soft landscape details shall be carried out in full in the first planting season following completion of the development and thereafter maintained in accordance with the submitted Landscape Management Plan (Ref: JSL3270_570 LMP B May 2022).

Any trees or hedges removed without the written consent of the Local Planning Authority, or that are dying or being severely damaged or becoming seriously diseased up to five years after occupation of the last dwelling shall be replaced (and if necessary, continue to be replaced) in the first available planting season with trees or hedging of a similar size and unless any variation is approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that trees and hedges to be retained are adequately protected from damage to health and stability throughout the construction period and in the interests of amenity.

5. No development shall proceed beyond damp proof course level until details and samples of all external facing materials (including, walls, roofs and fenestration detail) have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the development shall proceed in accordance with the approved materials and shall also comply with the approved materials distribution plan (Drawing No. UP00237-04).

Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual appearance of the development.

6. Prior to the commencement of the development above damp course level, a Sustainability Statement shall be submitted to the local planning authority for approval in writing. The statement shall include details of the proposed renewable technology measures, and or efficiency measures. The construction of the dwellings shall fully accord with the approved statement.

Reason: To ensure that the development includes sustainably measures.

7. The construction of the development hereby approved shall be limited to between the hours of 07:00hrs – 19:00hrs on Mondays to Fridays, 08:00hrs – 13:00hrs on Saturdays, with no activity on Sundays or Public Holidays.

Reason: To safeguard the amenity of the area and living conditions of any surrounding residential properties.

Appendix 1 – Details submitted to seek discharge of Condition nos. 7 (Landscape Management Plan) & 11 (Finished Floor Levels) of Outline Planning Permission No. P/OUT/2020/00495

It is considered that the revised Landscape Management Plan (Ref: JSL3270_570 LMP B May 2022) meets the requirements of Condition 7.

It is considered that the Engineering Strategy Plans (Drawing Nos. 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2500 Rev P04; 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2501 Rev P04; 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2502 Rev P04; 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2503 Rev P04; 17814-HYD-XX-XX-DR-C-2500 Rev P04) meet the requirements of Condition 11, as set out in the Environment Agency consultation response.

This page is intentionally left blank